

# GAUSSIAN PROCESS LATENT VARIABLE ALIGNMENT LEARNING



IEVA KAZLAUSKAITE<sup>1</sup>, CARL HENRIK EK<sup>2</sup>, NEILL D. F. CAMPBELL<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>UNIVERSITY OF BATH, UK <sup>2</sup>UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, UK

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**





## 3. MODEL

```
INPUTS:

Y_j \in \mathbb{R}^N - observed sequences

X \in \mathbb{R}^N - observed (fixed) uniform sampling of time
```

#### WARPINGS:

The time warpings  $g_j$  need to be monotonic, which we ensure by parametrising them using auxiliary variables  $U_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $[G_j]_n := 2 \sum_{k=1}^n [\operatorname{softmax}(U_j)]_k - 1.$ 

#### MODEL OVER TIME:

```
Warps evaluated
at fixed inputs (g_j(X)) Fixed inputs Kernel hyperparams
```

- Unknown number of distinct latent functions  $f_j$  (or equivalently, unknown number of groups of sequences).
- Weak assumptions on the warps (smoothness, monotonicity) without a parametric description.
- Sequences of different lengths.

# 2. OVERVIEW

There are two parts to our model.

- We place GP priors on  $f_j$  and  $g_j$ .
- Fit these GPs on the observed sequences  $y_j$ .  $\int OVER TIME$

This describes each observed sequence in isolation without aligning them. Moreover, fitting both  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  to a single sequence  $y_j$  is an illposed problem. To address this, we:

- Evaluate estimated  $f_i$  at fixed inputs x:  $S_j = f_j(x) + \epsilon_j$ .
- Impose a constraint encouraging  $\{S_j\}$  to split into a small number of clusters.
- Define this constraint using GP-LVM.

ALIGNMENT MODEL

Prior over warps:  $p(G_j | X, \omega) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, k_{\omega_j}(X, X))$ Prior over  $p\left(\begin{bmatrix}F_j^X\\F_j^G\end{bmatrix} | G_j, X_j, \theta_j\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix}k_{\theta_j}(X, X) & k_{\theta_j}(X, G_j)\\k_{\theta_j}(G_j, X) & k_{\theta_j}(G_j, G_j)\end{bmatrix}\right)$ Latent function GP evaluated at fixed inputs  $f_j(X)$  Latent function GP evaluated at warped inputs  $f_j(G_j)$ 

#### ALIGNMENT MODEL:

We use a GP-LVM that places independent GPs over the data features.

#### LIKELIHOODS:

We treat **S** as if they are observed (see Limitations) calling them *pseudo- observations* with the likelihood defined as an equal mixture:

Latent functions GP-LVM Vector of n-th samples j-th aligned sequence  
evaluated at latent space of aligned sequences  
fixed inputs 
$$p(\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F}^{X}, \mathbf{Z}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{n} \mathcal{N}(S_{:,n} | \mathbf{H}_{n}, \gamma^{-1}I_{J}) + \prod_{j} \mathcal{N}(S_{j,:} | \mathbf{F}_{j}^{X}, \beta_{j}^{-1}I_{N}) \right)$$
  
Aligned Noiseless Factorisation over columns of S over rows of S  
Raw inputs, Y Aligned data (pseudo-observations), S



GOAL: find the aligned sequences  $\{S_j\}$  which have high likelihood under both parts of the model.

Model

## 4. INFERENCE

We optimise marginal log-likelihood:

log  $p(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, G, | X) = \log p(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{G}, X) + \log p(\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{Z}) + \log p(\mathbf{Z}) + \log p(\mathbf{G} | X)$ w.r.t. the pseudo observations  $\mathbf{S}$ , the latent variables  $\mathbf{Z}$ , warps auxiliary variables  $U_j$ , and the kernel hyperparameters.

# 5. COMPARISONS [3, 4]



# 6. CMU MOTION CAPTURE DATA

# Raw inputsAligned functions (ours)Image: Descent stateImage: Descent state<

# 7. HEARTBEATS DATA [1]





#### 8. LIMITATIONS

-0.5

0.0

0.5

1.0

-1.0

-1.0

• S needs to be observed for the two parts of the model to be conditionally dependent, thus, we directly optimise S obtaining a point estimate of the aligned sequences. How to make the model fully generative?

-1.5

-0.5

0.0

• Scalability (beyond sparse GPs)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bentley, G. Nordehn, M. Coimbra, S. Mannor. The PASCAL Classifying Heart Sounds Challenge 2011 (CHSC2011).
- [2] I. Kazlauskaite, I. Ustyuzhaninov, C.H. Ek, N.D.F. Campbell. Sequence Alignment with Dirichlet Process Mixtures. BNP@NeurIPS, 2018.
- [3] S. Kurtek, A. Srivastava, E. Klassen, Z. Ding. Statistical Modeling of Curves using Shapes and Related Features. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2012.
- [4] F. Zhou, F. de al Torre. Generalized Canonical Time Warping. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI), 2016.